

Leon

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED RECEIVED

FEB 15 2005

FEB 16 2005

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

AZ Corporation Commission  
Director Of Utilities

JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
Chairman  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Commissioner  
MARC SPITZER  
Commissioner  
MIKE GLEASON  
Commissioner  
KRISTIN K. MAYES  
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NO. T-03100A-04-0468  
OF AMERIVISION COMMUNICATIONS, )  
INC. TARIFF FILING TO CHANGE LEC ) DECISION NO. 67589  
BILLED SURCHARGE RATE )  
ORDER

Open Meeting  
February 8 and 9, 2005  
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. AmeriVision Communications, Inc. ("Amerivision") is certified to provide intrastate telecommunications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.

2. On June 22, 2004, AmeriVision filed tariff revisions to its Telecommunications Services Tariff and Price List:

Telecommunications Services Tariff  
Tariff Section 4, Page 38.1, Release 2

3. AmeriVision seeks to change its Local Exchange Carrier ("LEC") Billed Surcharge Rate. A LEC Billed Surcharge is a monthly surcharge customers pay to have AmeriVision's long distance charges reflected on their LEC's monthly billing statement. Currently, AmeriVision's long distance customers have the choice of being directly billed by AmeriVision, at no cost or being billed by the customer's LEC at a monthly charge of \$1.50. This filing seeks to increase AmeriVision's LEC Billed Surcharge Rate from \$1.50 to \$2.00. Because customers may pay

1 more under AmeriVision's proposal, Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission")  
2 Staff ("Staff") considers this filing a rate increase.

3 4. AmeriVision indicated that it is filing these tariff revisions to keep pace with the  
4 costs associated with offering customers the option of being billed for long distance on their local  
5 service bill.

6 5. Staff has reviewed the approved maximum rates for AT&T Communications of the  
7 Mountain States ("AT&T") and Sprint Communications Services, Inc. ("Sprint") for the same  
8 service. Staff has determined that the Maximum Rate proposed by AmeriVision (\$2.00) is \$0.49  
9 less than AT&T's approved Maximum Rate of \$2.49. Staff also determined the Maximum Rate  
10 proposed by AmeriVision (\$2.00) is \$0.50 more than Sprint's approved, Maximum Rate of \$1.50.

11 6. AmeriVision indicated that it currently charges for LEC billing in 48 states other  
12 than Arizona. In these 48 states, AmeriVision indicated that it charges the same rate it is  
13 requesting the authority to charge in Arizona (\$2.00).

14 7. Since this filing increases the maximum rates for a component of a service that has  
15 been classified as competitive under the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Service  
16 Rules, Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1110 applies to AmeriVision's proposal.  
17 AmeriVision provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to allow Staff to determine  
18 the potential effects of approval of the filing. The information provided indicates that the expected  
19 revenue effect of approval of this filing is an increase of \$6,540 in AmeriVision's Arizona  
20 revenues. This expected revenue effect represents an extremely small percent of AmeriVision's  
21 Arizona revenues.

22 8. AmeriVision indicated that it currently charges for LEC billing to 1,090 customers  
23 in Arizona. Staff has reviewed AmeriVision's customer notification and believes that it is  
24 consistent with Commission rules.

25 9. The rates contained in this filing are for services that have been classified as  
26 competitive by the Commission and that are now subject to the Commission's Competitive  
27 Telecommunications Service Rules. Under these rules, rates for competitive services are not set  
28 according to rate of return regulation. Staff requested information from AmeriVision regarding its

1 fair value rate base. AmeriVision indicated that its fair value rate base is zero. However, the rate  
2 to be ultimately charged by AmeriVision will be heavily influenced by the market. Because of the  
3 nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily  
4 representative of the company's operations. Also, AmeriVision's proposed surcharges for LEC  
5 billing are comparable to the rates charged by other providers of the same service in Arizona.  
6 Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information submitted by AmeriVision, it  
7 did not accord that information substantial weight in its analysis of this matter.

8 10. Staff recommends approval of this filing.

9 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

10 1. AmeriVision is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV,  
11 Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.

12 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over AmeriVision and over the subject matter of  
13 the Application.

14 3. The Commission, having reviewed the tariff pages (copies of which are contained  
15 in the Commission's tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated January 25, 2005, concludes the  
16 tariff is reasonable, fair and equitable, and therefore in the public interest.

17 ...

18 ...

19 ...

20 ...

21 ...

22 ...

23 ...

24 ...

25 ...

26 ...

27 ...

28 ...



ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the tariff pages listed in Finding of Fact No. 2 are approved.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this decision shall become effective immediately.

**BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

 CHAIRMAN  
 COMMISSIONER  
 COMMISSIONER

 COMMISSIONER  
 COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this 15<sup>th</sup> day of Feb., 2005.

  
BRIAN C. McNEIL  
Executive Secretary

DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

EGJ:AJL:rdp/JW

1 SERVICE LIST FOR: AmeriVision Communications, Inc.  
2 DOCKET NO. T-03100A-04-0468

3 Ms. Judith Riley  
4 Telecom Professionals, Inc.  
5 2912 Lakeside Drive, Suite 100  
6 Oklahoma city, Oklahoma 73120

7 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson  
8 Director, Utilities Division  
9 Arizona Corporation Commission  
10 1200 West Washington  
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

12 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley  
13 Chief Counsel, Legal Division  
14 Arizona Corporation Commission  
15 1200 West Washington  
16 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

